

# EXHIBIT 11

1                   IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2                   FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

3  
4                   IN RE: HIGH FRUCTOSE CORN SYRUP      )  
5                   ANTITRUST LITIGATION                  )  
6    >) MDL No. 187  
7    >) Master File 95-1477  
8                   THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:            )  
9                   ALL ACTIONS                              )  
10

11                   HEARING ON PENDING MOTIONS  
12                   VOLUME 2 OF 2

13  
14                   MAY 18, 2004  
15                   Peoria, Illinois

16  
17                   BEFORE:

18                   HONORABLE MICHAEL M. MIHM  
19                   United States District Judge

20  
21  
22  
23                   Karen S. Hanna, C.S.R.  
24                   U.S. District Court Reporter  
25                   Central District of Illinois

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript  
produced by computer

1 APPEARANCES:

2

3 ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS:

4

5 MICHAEL J. FREED, ESQ.  
6 Much, Shelist, Freed, Denenberg, Ament & Rubenstein  
7 200 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60601-1095

8

9 ROBERT N. KAPLAN, ESQ.  
GREGORY ARENSON, ESQ.  
Kaplan, Fox & Kilsheimer  
805 Third Avenue  
10 New York, New York 10017

11

12 H. LADDIE MONTAGUE, JR., ESQ.  
Berger & Montague  
13 1622 Locust Street  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

14

15

16 \* \* \* \* \*

17

18 JAMES R. EISZNER, ESQ.  
Shook, Hardy & Bacon  
19 2555 Grand Boulevard  
Kansas City, Missouri 64108  
20 (Appeared on Behalf of the Coca-Cola Company)

21

22

23

24

25

1                   ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS:

2  
3                   TERRENCE A. GRIMM, ESQ.  
4                   JOSEPH A. SPIEGLER, ESQ.  
5                   MICHAEL FLOMENHOFT, ESQ.

6                   Winston & Strawn  
7                   35 W. Wacker Drive  
8                   Chicago, Illinois 60601

9                   (Appeared on Behalf of A.E. Staley Manufacturing Co.)

10                  STEVEN R. KUNEY, ESQ.  
11                  JOHN SCHMIDTLEIN, ESQ.  
12                  WILLIAM BACHMAN, ESQ.  
13                  MS. JESSAMYN BERNIKER  
14                  Williams & Connolly  
15                  725 Twelfth Street, NW  
16                  Washington, DC 20005

17                  -- and --

18                  DAVID B. MUELLER, ESQ.  
19                  Cassidy & Mueller  
20                  416 Main Street, Suite 323  
21                  Peoria, Illinois 61602

22                  (Appeared on Behalf of Archer Daniels Midland Company)

23

24

25

1       jury, we know that we would not -- we know we would have  
2       one decision concerning the issue of damages.

3                 I don't know who that helps or hurts. That's my  
4       best effort right now. I want you to think about it. If  
5       you have additional comments to make, which I'm sure you  
6       may well have, I want you to have those to me within the  
7       next 30 days or so so that I would have time to reflect on  
8       it before we meet on June 25, okay?

9                 And I really mean -- I'm not tied to this, but I  
10      am tied to it to the extent of saying that liability and  
11      damages are going to be bifurcated. I'm pretty firm, very  
12      firm on that. But on the question of who is going to  
13      determine the damages, assuming liability as to both  
14      defendants, it would be a third jury.

15                 Now it does raise another question that I just  
16      thought about. We would have to -- I think we would have  
17      to -- I'm not sure exactly what we tell the jury about  
18      this, but we would have to be prepared to hold the juries  
19      until we had both verdicts because if liability was found  
20      only against one, then there would be absolutely no reason  
21      to go to a third jury. That jury would hear the damages.

22                 MR. SPIEGLER: We agree with that, Your Honor.

23                 THE COURT: So factor that into your thoughtful  
24      discussions about this. Is there anything else that we  
25      should talk about today that we haven't covered so far?

1                   Well, I do want to say, I know that there have  
2                   been some rather contentious arguments here, but I do  
3                   appreciate the quality of the arguments and the briefs and  
4                   I do want to say I recognize that this could be a lot  
5                   worse than it is and it's as good as it is because I think  
6                   you've all worked quite hard. Now you have to take those  
7                   efforts and multiply them by two, particularly with the  
8                   depositions and the exhibit issues. But as I told you  
9                   before, it doesn't get any better. It's a real pleasure  
10                  to deal with lawyers of your quality and professionalism,  
11                  so thank you for that. We'll see you on June 25.

12                  MR. MONTAGUE: Your Honor, may I hand up a draft  
13                  of the final order for Cargill so you can take a look at  
14                  that?

15                  THE COURT: Thank you. What time is that,  
16                  3:00 o'clock tomorrow? Okay. Very good. I will give you  
17                  seven days from today to provide any supplemental thoughts  
18                  or cases that you have on this question about the use  
19                  of -- we call it roughly the 404(b) information by the  
20                  expert, proper use of that. Seven days from today. And  
21                  we will be asking these questions of Professor Wolak that  
22                  we talked about yesterday too.

23

24

25                  \* \* \* HEARING CONCLUDED \* \* \*